



**TOWN OF LOOMIS**  
**ACTION MINUTES**  
**WORKSHOP AND SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING**  
**LOOMIS TOWN COUNCIL**  
**LOOMIS DEPOT**  
**5775 HORSESHOE BAR ROAD**  
**LOOMIS, CA 95650**

**THURSDAY** **SEPTEMBER 23, 2010** **7:00 P.M.**

Note: These are action minutes only. An audio copy of the meeting can be requested at Town Hall.

**CALL TO ORDER** Call to order by Mayor Liss at 7:00 p.m.

**ROLL CALL**

Present:  
Mayor Liss  
Councilmember Kelley  
Councilmember Morillas  
Councilmember Scherer  
Councilmember Ucovich

**PUBLIC COMMENT:** This time is reserved for those in the audience who wish to address the Town Council on subjects that are not on the Agenda. The audience should be aware that the Council may not discuss details or vote on non-agenda items. Your concerns may be referred to staff or placed on the next available agenda. Please **note that comments from the public will also be taken on any item on the agenda. The time allotted to each speaker is five minutes.** There was no public comment.

**ADOPTION OF AGENDA** A motion was made to adopt the Agenda. On motion by Councilmember Ucovich, seconded by Councilmember Morillas and passed by voice vote.

**WORKSHOP**

**7:00 PM to 8:00 PM**

**PLANNING COMMISSION**

Present:  
Commission Chair Thew  
Vice Chair Arisman  
Commissioner Fettke  
Commissioner Obranovich  
Commissioner Wilson

**PARK, RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE COMMITTEE**

Present:  
Committee Chair Calvert  
Member Beck  
Member Emert  
Member Knisley  
Vice Chair Miller  
Member Seers  
Member Seth  
Member Wallis

1. **Park, Recreation and Open Space Master Plan Review with Planning Commission and Parks, Recreation and Open Space Committee**

The Master Plan is intended to provide the town of Loomis with a comprehensive view of its park, open space and recreation resources and to develop a practical program for financing the acquisition, development, operation and maintenance of a sound parks, open space, and recreation program that will serve the residents of Loomis until the year 2030.

**Recommended action:** Review Master Plan and comments received to date and such comments that come up in the course of the review.

**Public comment:**

Recess at 8:25 p.m. to 8:35 p.m.

**CONVENE SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING**

**8:15 PM to adjourn**

Council convened into a Special Meeting at 8:35 p.m.

## BUSINESS

### 1. Park, Recreation and Open Space Master Plan Comments

The Master Plan comments require Council approval so that the consultant can complete the public review draft and submit a final draft for Council approval.

**Recommended action:** Review Master Plan and comments and:

- A. Approve the edits section making any final changes Council desires
- B. Receive and file the opinion section
- C. Review the various comments in the section requiring Council action and make determinations as the Council desires item by item

#### **Public comment:**

Council discussed the 12 items and agreed upon the following:

1. *Page 43 – G-5, "...five or more parcels...." Comment indicated that a 5 lot subdivision is not enough parcels and that a park would be too small. Needs to be larger subdivisions, No minimum parcel number given with this comment.*

When there are 30 to 40 homes built than require land for a park and for smaller subdivisions locate a park in a planning area when enough money comes in from park fees.

2. *Page 45 – Recommended to add an OS-9: "Would like the Town to purchase potential sites for future parks."*

Discussed in item 1 what number 2 is trying to address and add the "Town shall not go into debt."

3. *Page 40 - #2, at the bottom of the page should be reworded: "Institute a tax....."*

Delete "increase in." This is addressed in the Implementation on page 47 #5 but delete reference to "quarter cent" and "\$60" and "an Open Space." To read as follows: Commission a scientific public opinion survey of the Town's residents on parks, recreation, and open space issues and gauge public opinion regarding the formation of a District funded by a sales tax increase and/or an annual parcel tax.

4. *Would like to use the lower percentage (45% is too much).*

Keep percentage as is.

No public comment on items 1, 2, 3, 4,

A motion was made to approve the above recommendations for items 1, 2, and 3. On motion by Councilmember Scherer, seconded by Councilmember Morillas and passed by voice vote.

A motion was made to approve the above recommendation for item 4. On motion by Councilmember Scherer, seconded by Councilmember Morillas and passed by voice vote, with Councilmember Ucovich voting no (4/1).

5. *Section 6 does not talk about Antelope Creek and the Unnamed Creek (Sierra College Boulevard to the Village at Loomis)*

Add unnamed creek to plan.

6. *For Implementation Section, put emphasis on "action." Add a couple of section items such as:*

1. *Identify areas where Loomis wants Parks and/or open space areas.*
2. *Identify potential parcels that are on the market for parks and/or open space areas*
3. *Identify and apply for suitable grants as soon as possible.*
4. *"Implementation Section does not fully communicate recommended policies and programs (Section 6). Suggest a bullet point list of: "Do these park projects," as pulled out of Section 6 and make sure Section 6 includes "trails information."*

Delete item 2.

Following are comments given in the workshop to be added:

- Identify the needs per the planning area rather than by parcel
- take big area and say there should be a park but don't identify a specific site
- trails policy, why not just refer to the other document
- all developments need a connectivity plan to connect subdivisions (add to page 47 implementation plan)
- Najidian project on Berg Lane was an opportunity to connect Frost, Berg and Wine Way
- trails connecting all the parks, recreation areas and open space in Loomis as practical as a goal beyond the trails master plan

- require property to have such trails as easements particularly along stream corridors that have not been developed (add to page 47 implementation plan)
- swim pool used by swim team and Loomis Basin Dolphins after summer otherwise pool is shut after Labor Day
- need agreements with schools on public use of facilities
- Town doesn't have a recreation department so haven't needed detailed agreements
- Town can't presently afford recreation department
- at County Park you call January 1 to book date for event
- can't book exercise
- question need of recreation program
- Page 39 overview says we have arrangements with schools not accurate, add in this section a reference to joint use agreements and where appropriate in maintenance, administration funding
- need more specifics on what is possible
- jogging and tennis are not group things so in a joint agreement could say facility available to public use when school is not in session
- work with Del Oro first for an agreement
- elementary schools actually better working with public
- news articles and postings would be good once agreements are made
- don't get into a scheduling schools
- individuals should not be at the end of the line to use school facilities
- schedule open time
- page 4, no recreation department in Town because County did not give Loomis a percentage of the tax dollar at incorporation to have that service
- child safety should be addressed in an agreement
- after school there are many scheduled activities

7. *This Master Plan needs to include verbiage on linking trails to park and open space areas.*

The comments in Item 6 address item 7 also.

8. *R-3. Suggest adding at the end "beyond the summer program" – if that is what's meant- since we already have the summer program use. Or another meaning?*

On page 39 under the "Overview" mention that Joint Use Agreements with schools are part of our park plan; page 44, under Section C Recreation Program Policies – public access to school facilities needs to be specifically addressed in joint use agreements; delete reference to "strong" working relationship on page 44 C.R-3;

R-3 to be modified to: Build upon the Town's working relationship with the School Districts to expand the programs and facilities that would be open to the Town's residents at their facilities.

No public comment for items 5-8.

A motion was made to approve the above recommendations for items 5-8. On motion by Councilmember Ucovich, seconded by Councilmember Scherer and passed by voice vote.

9. *OS-7 I cannot support a jump to 75% Open Space for clustering without substantial study of the issue and public hearings. This is a huge change from our current Zoning Ordinance's 40, 50 and 60% requirement, depending on lot size zoning. This figure looks picked from the air. Are there studies and examples to back it up? Besides the homes, roads and infrastructure also take a portion of the land. Will people really want to live that crammed together in our larger parcel areas of Loomis? Will they be willing to pay for the ongoing expense of maintaining such large open spaces for their subdivision? Will the public accept such dense clustering in our larger parcel areas? There are far too many unanswered questions for me to support this change without significant further study. (It would be acceptable to call for the Town to consider increasing the Open Space requirement for clustered development.)*

Delete the words "at least 75%."

10. *Under "Existing Open Space Resources" on page 29 is the phrase "outlined below are some of the existing open space resources that are presently enjoyed by our community." While the requirements of Quimby don't apply to open space, we have set the goal of 5 acres/1000 people. Counting the easements on Montserrat to claim we've met our goal is just as inappropriate as counting St. Francis Woods, which seems to have disappeared from the list. All the land in both neighborhoods is privately owned. There will be no community enjoyment other than the horse trail along the main road. There won't even be enjoyment by the neighbors, as there is no neighborhood access either. It's reasonable to count the horse trail, but not the private lots. It's like counting everyone's back yard as open space. If asked, I doubt Loomis residents would consider Montserrat their community open space, so is it appropriate for us to do so? Again, the questionable conclusion that we've exceeded our goal could be used as an excuse to ignore protecting more open space.*

This has already been covered.

No public comment.

A motion was made to approve the above recommendation for item 9. On motion by Councilmember Scherer, seconded by Councilmember Morillas and passed by voice vote.

11. Under funding and Financing on page 45, suggest adding a mechanism for funding the skate park through community participation. The skate park will serve a small percentage of the citizens, and it would be appropriate for the kids to raise some of the money. Why not have a match program? The town would match whatever the kids raise. They would be likely to have more of a sense of ownership when they've had to work for it, and thus would probably help keep the park clean and safe. Safety is an established concern about the skate park.

Let the High School know that we are open to senior projects, open opportunities for public funding, and opportunities for school uses including plays from McLaughlin Studios in the multi-use plaza (not just a skate park).

12. *The following email and concept drawing was received from Mayor Liss.*

This was addressed already.

No public comment on items 11 or 12.

A motion was made to approve the above recommendation for item 11. On motion by Councilmember Scherer, seconded by Councilmember Morillas and passed by voice vote.

**PUBLIC COMMENT ON ISSUES THAT HAVE NOT BEEN DISCUSSED UNDER THE 12 ITEMS.**

Kim Fittke, 4920 King Road, stated the following:

- on page 20, under Opinions, item 3, Ms. Wilson is questioning the use of terms, definitions, and the appendix of the Master Plan and there use throughout the document
- in the document "passive park" is what is referred to as "open space" and Ms. Wilson suggests using passive park instead of open space and she agrees that the use of open space is confusing

Jean Wilson, 4301 Barton Road, stated the following:

- suggested adding a new definition for "agricultural" conservation area in conservation areas
- serious concern about the freeway commercial that it is required to orient toward the creek it is a mistake to prescribe that without any further study
- for a creek to be the focus of a major commercial center could be a mistake to focus that much on it
- possible it could have a lot of public impact , it could impact how people will use that area, she would like to leave that more flexible
- facts could not be included in the charts because they are based by things in the General Plan and suggested perhaps they could be added as a footnote
- for example the charter school can't be added as the list of schools but put it in as a footnote, the Franklin School park can't be added but can be put in as a footnote
- it looks sloppy for the Town to publish a document that doesn't have factual information

Jo-Carol Arisman, 6160 Rachel Lane, stated the following:

- she read the entire document as a proof reader
- on page 6, item 3, it states that we are "plaster County" instead of "Placer County"
- she changed all the errors and gave it to the consultant

Following further discussion on the matter, Council approved the following:

- ask the consultant to review the document and re-consider changing wording from "open space" to "passive park" where it is appropriate
  - on page 48, under Appendix A, 3. Conservation Area - add "agricultural" after the word "cultural" and before "hydrological"
  - on page 43, P-4 (bottom of page), delete the following: "and require the new development to orient itself toward the creek"
- On motion by Councilmember Scherer, seconded by Councilmember Morillas and passed by voice vote.

A motion was made to footnote the charts wherever appropriate to reference the school facilities and any parks for parks and recreation in the area (example King Road Park) . On motion by Councilmember Scherer, seconded by Councilmember Morillas and passed by voice vote, with Councilmember Ucovich voting no (4/1).

A motion was made to approve the Edit Section as staff recommended. On motion by Councilmember Morillas, seconded by Councilmember Scherer and passed by voice vote with Councilmember Ucovich voting no (4/1).

**ADJOURNMENT**

A motion was made to adjourn at 9:32 p.m. On motion by Councilmember Scherer, seconded by Councilmember Ucovich and passed by voice vote.

---

Mayor

---

Town Clerk



# TOWN OF LOOMIS

## ACTION MINUTES REGULAR MEETING OF LOOMIS TOWN COUNCIL LOOMIS DEPOT

5775 HORSESHOE BAR ROAD, LOOMIS, CA 95650  
AND 3683 FROST LANE

**TUESDAY**

**SEPTEMBER 14, 2010**

**7:00 P.M.**

Note: These are action minutes only. An audio copy of the meeting can be requested at Town Hall.

**CALL TO ORDER** Call to order by Mayor Liss at 7:03 p.m.

### PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

### ROLL CALL

Present:

Mayor Liss  
Councilmember Kelley  
Councilmember Morillas  
Councilmember Scherer

Absent: Councilmember Ucovich

**PROCLAMATIONS/RECOGNITIONS** Town Staff

### MATTERS OF INTEREST TO COUNCILMEMBERS/FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Mayor Liss stated the following:

- reminded Council of the conflict of interest training that needs to be done and suggested having Jack Kastorff come in for the training
- he requested a moment of silence for David Nelthorpe (Rhonda Morillas's brother) who passed away

**All items on the agenda will be open for public comment before final action is taken. Speakers are requested to restrict comments to the item as it appears on the agenda and stay within a five-minute time limit. The Mayor has the discretion of limiting the total discussion time for an item.**

**Written Material Introduced Into the Record: Citizens wishing to introduce written material into the record at the public hearing on any item are requested to provide a copy of the written material to the Town Clerk prior to the public hearing date so that the material may be distributed to the Town Council prior to the public hearing.**

**PUBLIC COMMENT:** This time is reserved for those in the audience who wish to address the Town Council on subjects that are not on the Agenda. The audience should be aware that the Council may not discuss details or vote on non-agenda items. Your concerns may be referred to staff or placed on the next available agenda. Please **note that comments from the public will also be taken on any item on the agenda. The time allotted to each speaker may be limited to five minutes or less**, at the discretion of the Mayor.

There was no public comment.

**ADOPTION OF AGENDA:** Council will typically adopt the agenda **in the order listed or modify the order** in a way that can best accommodate the time of people in attendance who wish to speak on particular items.

A motion was made to adopt the Agenda. On motion by Councilmember Scherer, seconded by Councilmember Morillas and passed by voice vote.

**OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT ON CONSENT CALENDAR:** All items listed under the Consent Agenda are considered by the Council to be routine in nature and will be enacted by one motion unless an audience member or Councilmember requests otherwise, in which case, the item will be removed for separate consideration.

A motion was made to adopt the Consent Agenda. On motion by Councilmember Scherer, seconded by Councilmember Morillas and passed by voice vote.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

**RECOMMENDED ACTION**

- |     |   |                                      |
|-----|---|--------------------------------------|
| 1.  | Council Minutes – 8/10/10   | APPROVE                              |
| 2.  | Monthly Check Register – August   | RECEIVE AND FILE                     |
| 3.  | Statement of Activity   | RECEIVE AND FILE                     |
| 4.  | Treasurer’s Report  | RECEIVE AND FILE                     |
| 5.  | Planning Status Report  | RECEIVE AND FILE                     |
| 6.  | 2010/11 Goals Update  | RECEIVE AND FILE                     |
| 7.  | Select Rocklin Development Projects Update  | RECEIVE AND FILE                     |
| 8.  | A Resolution Of The Council Of The Town Of Loomis Authorizing Submittal Of Claims For Local Transportation Funds And State Transit Assistance Funds And Authorizing The Town Manager To Execute | ADOPT RESOLUTION<br>Resolution 10-24 |
| 9.  | Second Reading of Ordinance 247, Amending Section 3.12.060 Of The Municipal Code To Add Language Requiring A Public Education And Participation Component In Consultant Contracts               | ADOPT ORDINANCE                      |
| 10. | Biennial Review Of Conflict Of Interest Code To Determine If It Is Accurate Or, Alternatively, That The Code Must Be Amended  | RECEIVE AND FILE                     |

CONSENT ITEMS FORWARDED

**BUSINESS**

11. **Western Care Company Request To Reconsider Sierra College Boulevard Improvement Plan**  
Western Care Construction Company wishes Council to reconsider the Sierra College Boulevard Improvement Plan having to do with access to their office building at 4020 Sierra College Boulevard  
**Recommended action:** Proceed with the improvement plan as presently drawn.  
**Public comment:**

John Margowski, representing Western Care at 4020 Sierra College Boulevard, stated the following:

- the Town is putting in a solid median that will be landscaped and no turning lanes
- his employees come from the freeway and there will be approximately 130 trips that will have to do u-turns
- it will be three minutes longer every day and over a year it will be 12 hours waiting in traffic
- gas will be wasted and air pollution generated
- he requested a temporary left turn until the street goes to six lanes
- they will pay for the design and the cost for closing it

No public comment.

Councilmember Kelley stated the following:

- we won’t be having six lanes for a while
- putting a temporary turning lane would work the best at this time

Mayor Liss stated the following:

- he is concerned about eliminating the landscaping
- doesn't like dealing with this at the last minute
- the traffic counts that we have now will be dwarfed by what is coming in the future
- if we went forward with this there should be a trigger to close it with the increase in traffic counts or when Rocklin Commons or Rocklin Crossings develops
- he would rather plan for it and not wait until there is an accident
- he is not in favor of this

Following further discussion on the matter, a motion was made by Councilmember Scherer for the following:

- they agree to do the 195 foot minimum turning lane
  - Western Care will cover the cost to re-design
  - they will pay for improvements to close it up
  - it will be closed when there are three lanes on the West side, or when there are improvements at Brace Road and Sierra College Boulevard, or when Rocklin Crossing and Rocklin Commons are both opened, or when there is an increase in accidents or unsafe conditions, or if we agree to put a signal in between Granite Drive and the left turning lane, or if there are too many cars turning left in the morning and holding up traffic on Sierra College Boulevard
  - they will have insurance coverage to insure that the Town will be protected in case accidents occur (approved by the Town Attorney)
- The motion was seconded by Councilmember Morillas and passed by voice vote, with Mayor Liss voting no (3/1). Councilmember Ucovich was absent.

12. **WAVE Cable Presentation**

WAVE Cable officials will be here this evening to give an operation update

**Recommended action:** Hear presentation and give direction for follow up if needed

**Public comment:**

Jerry Rotondo, Senior Vice President for WAVE Broadband, gave an update on the operations and answered questions.

No public comment.

Following further discussion on the matter. Councilmember Scherer suggested meeting together with Mayor Liss and WAVE Broadband on Friday to discuss crafting a plan to get service into the rural areas of Loomis.

Recess at 8:50 p.m. to 9 p.m.

Council discussed item 14 at this time and then will discuss item 13.

13. **King Road Overlay Project**

Consider low bidder for the King Road Overlay Project

**Recommended action:** Adopt resolution awarding to low bidder, Delta Construction Company, and authorizing Town Manager to execute an agreement acceptable to the Town for the King Road overlay project

**Public comment:**

No public comment.

Following further discussion on the matter, a motion was made to adopt Resolution10-25, awarding to low bidder, Delta Construction Company and authorizing Town Manager to execute an agreement acceptable to the Town for the King Road Overlay Project in the amount not to exceed of \$306,000 (Option 1). On motion by Councilmember Scherer, seconded by Councilmember Morillas and passed by voice vote.

14. **Loomis Monument Proposal**

The Town has been approached by Brent Ludlow, a senior at Del Oro High School, to construct a Loomis entry monument

**Recommended action:** Discuss and direct

**Public comment:**

Brent Ludlow, a senior at Del Oro High School, stated the following:

- he is looking to complete an Eagle Scout project
- he proposed a rough estimate of cost for an entry monument
- he asked Council what design they prefer and will build a scale model first

Tom Seth suggested putting the monument on Taylor Road as you are coming down the hill into Loomis from the East end by Alice's fruit shed.

Council gave the following suggestions:

- suggested talking with Ted Kitada regarding using large cobbles or some kind of rock that is unique to this area
- suggested Council pay for a large (over 20 inches) colored Town seal to be imbedded in the monument
- suggested using the 5<sup>th</sup> design but with a fruit shed theme at the top and the two ends slanted
- make sure the landscaping doesn't get in the way
- using something similar to the existing monument on Horseshoe Bar Road

- having the monument on the Sierra College Boulevard Median
- suggested talking with Scott Paris about doing a rod iron "Welcome to Loomis"
- first choice would be for using rod iron, second for steel and third for granite

Council suggested Brent Ludlow meet with Councilmembers Scherer and Kelley to finalize the design.

Following further discussion on the matter, Council consensus was first choice was to have monument on the median, using option 5 with iron rod; second choice using steel; and third choice using granite and Councilmember Kelley and Scherer will work with him to finalize the design.

## COMMITTEE REPORTS

15. Placer County Community Services Commission - Kelley
16. Placer County Economic Development Commission – Ucovich
17. Placer County Flood Control/Water Conservation District – Morillas/Liss
18. Placer County Transportation Planning Agency – Ucovich/Kelley
19. Placer County Mosquito Abatement – Kelley
20. Placer County Air Pollution Control District – Ucovich/Morillas
21. Sacramento Area Council of Governments – Scherer/Liss
22. Placer Land Trust ex-officio representative – Scherer
23. Borders Committee – Scherer/Liss
24. Business Committee – Scherer/Liss

## ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made to adjourn at 10:00 p.m. On motion by Councilmember Scherer, seconded by Councilmember Morillas and passed by voice vote.

---

Mayor

---

Town Clerk

**TOWN OF LOOMIS**  
**ACTION MINUTES**  
**SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING**  
**LOOMIS TOWN COUNCIL**  
**LOOMIS DEPOT**  
**5775 HORSESHOE BAR ROAD, LOOMIS, CA 95650**

**WEDNESDAY**

**AUGUST 25, 2010**

**6:30 P.M.**

Note: These are action minutes only. An audio copy of the meeting can be requested at Town Hall.

**CALL TO ORDER**      Call to order at 6:30 p.m.

**ROLL CALL**

Present:

Mayor Liss  
Councilmember Kelley  
Councilmember Morillas  
Councilmember Scherer  
Councilmember Ucovich

**PUBLIC COMMENT:** This time is reserved for those in the audience who wish to address the Town Council on subjects that are not on the Agenda. The audience should be aware that the Council may not discuss details or vote on non-agenda items. Your concerns may be referred to staff or placed on the next available agenda. Please note that comments from the public will also be taken on any item on the agenda. The time allotted to each speaker is five minutes. There was no public comment.

**ADOPTION OF AGENDA**      A motion was made to adopt the Agenda. On motion by Councilmember Ucovich, seconded by Councilmember Kelley and passed by voice vote.

**BUSINESS**

**1. Downtown Park Improvements**

*6:30 p.m. to 7:30 p.m.*

At the June 8, 2010 Town Council meeting, staff was directed to continue the design process of the downtown park and begin preparing the improvement plans, specifications and estimates

**Recommended action:** Approve the 60 percent downtown park plans and direct staff/consultant to complete the improvement plans and specifications with the findings that the project is exempt under CEQA categories 15303, 15304 and 15332 and authorize staff to advertise for bids

**Public comment:**

Perry Beck, Town Manager, stated the following:

- his concern is the State Grant, they want to have the project completed by December
- the State wants to get the projects done so there is plenty of time to obtain the money
- he would like to have a lot of shovels in the ground by then so we can show that we are under construction and at a certain stage
- he asked Council to give the consultant direction to proceed with a plan and suggested bidding as many things as they can with this plan (some being bid alternates)
- the process with the State takes about 3 to 4 weeks and during that time Omni Means can draw up the plans and maybe go to bid within 30 days
- the project should take about 90 days, if the weather is good

Brian Fraglao, Town Engineer/Public Works Director, stated that Scott Robertson has revised some of the cost estimate stages to be more reflective of what is going on.

Scott Robertson, Omni Means project manager, stated the following:

- he handed out revised numbers that they just received this afternoon
- they have been working with PCWA and have been able to eliminate a meter cost and come down to a ¼ inch meter, which will reduce the cost
- the spray pad costs was an error in the document and the number has been revised
- irrigation numbers have also been revised, more realistic numbers now
- they were going to pull over the water service in the initial plan
- recently he talked with PCWA and they will allow the restroom, the spray pad, and drinking fountain off the same meter as the irrigation with two back-flow preventers

Nancie McLaughlin, McLaughlin Studios, stated the following:

- she requested to have 2- 220 amp breakers for outlets on two of the light poles (near one end) to accommodate their events
- also, asked for a couple of bars attached to the poles that could be used for flags and for lighting

**Sandra Calvert, 4285 Indian Creek Drive, stated the following:**

- extra lighting is a great idea for the future events
- she would hope Council would open this up for local bidding
- on behalf of the Park, Recreation and Open Space Committee, they were hoping to see more rural character
- they would like to see Boy Scout and Seniors at Del Oro get involved in a community park (example, making benches)
- the more we involve them, the better our park will be in the long run
- we need to look at planting strong trees where we can have a tree swing, this gives the rural character
- her son, Connor, suggested some kind of a platform/tree house, where kids can observe the train traffic as it goes by
- she would like the skate park referred to as a community plaza, in giving it this title it takes away some negativity that might be out there
- we could offer the boarders a tile and they can draw their favorite fruit and tile that area to give it character and give them ownership
- we do have remembrances, honoraries, deaths that we may want to honor with some of the walk-ways
- it would be nice to walk and understand the history of our Town and see some type of remembrance of individuals that have helped make our community
- we love our pets here too, I don't see any watering for our dogs, that would be great to have a place where we can give our dogs a drink and make it pet friendly

**Jennifer Knisley, 4135 Hunters Drive, stated that if we want people to go somewhere to eat their lunch, the covered shelter would be more beneficial than the tot lot.**

**Jean Wilson, 4301 Barton Road, stated the following:**

- suggested stubbing in the spray feature and the restrooms and not putting them in, at this time
- she likes the idea of using the water for the spray and for the irrigation, instead of paying for the re-circulation costs
- she agrees that the native oaks are not the best for active areas
- a North African Mediterranean oak and the Cork Oak from Portugal also does well in this area
- for some of the landscaped and heavily used areas we need to use trees that are going to work well there and put the native ones in the lesser used areas where they can survive
- she is hoping that the lights shown will meet our requirements of being down-lit, because we do have an ordinance on that
- if we do the community garden, there is a community garden association and a person from there would be happy to come and talk

**Hilary Wallis, 5600 Tudor Way, stated the following:**

- she suggested keeping it more natural
- she pointed out that kids like playing on boulders and she liked the tree house idea
- trash receptacles and picnic tables costs are high and suggested moving some of the benches we already have on the other side of the Depot moved to the new side
- we should be able to find trash receptacles for \$200 rather than \$1600

**Janet Thew, 5572 St. Francis Circle, stated the following:**

- she is trying to figure out where all the months and hours of public input meetings went, she fails to see a lot of the things that were discussed incorporated here (no volunteers anymore, standard plastic play equipment, or typical plants), where is Loomis in all of this
- there are plenty of native plants that can be used, certain Manzanita's, Toyon, and Coffee berries, there is no need to use standard typical plants here
- the lighting is not downlit as required
- what she thought was going to be a small multi-use plaza has grown into a very large skate plaza, it seems like the multi-use concept has disappeared
- where is the multi-use going to come in and if it is going to be a multi-use than can we rename it so it doesn't say skate plaza everywhere and really make it multi-use

**Pat Miller 4395 Gold Trail Way, stated the following:**

- there is a message coming out from the audience, she was looking forward to the volunteer opportunity
- there are plenty of contractors all over Loomis that would be excited about this park
- we don't want to see it look like any other park anywhere, we don't like the off the shelf type park
- she didn't hear about the backless benches and prefers benches with a back
- we are all hoping that the park will be unique to Loomis, she likes the idea of using boulders for kids to play on
- regarding the volunteers, it is not about saving money, it is about getting the community involved
- if you have the tot lot out there and no shade then there will be no tots, but if there is a shade structure than everybody will be there
- she also would like to see us maximize the use of native plants, they will be less care and maintenance

**Nancy Beck, 6304 David Avenue stated the following:**

- she too envisioned a different type of park from all of the meetings
- Loomis is a tight community, she has watched this community grow and she has seen the tots that are now young adults with their own families
- she sees them up and down the main street in the evenings, taking their children in strollers, or tricycles, or Radio Flyer wagons
- she has talked to quite a few of them and they are very excited about this, our town is known for volunteerism
- she would like to see our community, our school community (FFA), and Scouts involved with this
- the idea of calling it a Community Plaza is wonderful, when you look at the statistics, the young folks outnumber the old folks right now
- the whole family can share in this, she envisioned something that was more unique to our area and using our native plants
- I hope Council reconsiders this, she thought Ms. Calvert and Connor came up with some wonderful ideas
- the tiles are a good idea
- the prices for benches in our packet was overwhelming, we can also ask for donated benches again and be consistent with the ones we already have
- she concurs with the rest, we need to have it our park and not one you would see in Sacramento, Roseville, or Rocklin

Perry Beck Stated the following:

- option one is a basic kind of park, it builds about half of it although it lays out the site gradings and everything else
- it does a partial paving, undergrounds all the utilities and stubs it, and it comes in at a price of about \$516,000, there is even a few things in there that could be set up as bid alternates
- again his concern is to get the State money completed, and suggested number 1, which would still allow us to build something that he believes the State will accept
- we can take our time on the money that we are planning to use
- we could extend that over the next 2 to 4 years as the situation will allow
- the Public Works director suggested that the CMAC funds can be used for parking facilities and pedestrian pathways and if we can pay for that in that manner than that stretches our park dollars to go a little further

Mayor Liss noted that Polly Emert had to leave and asked him to state if they have to cut back then just go with the basic park, with utilities stubbed in.

Councilmember Ucovich stated the following:

- he would like to keep the lawn and the iris garden and not the community garden
- he would just stub in for the restroom at this time
- it's important to get as much stuff as we can so the community can come out and use it as a park
- talked about using grey water for the spray area
- we don't need the benches, the drinking fountain does have something for the dogs
- for the lighting, he doesn't want to see the lights in the trees again
- he would like the lights along the pathway and in the parking lot (not all in the backside)
- most parks close when the sun goes down
- he agrees with having more natural plants
- regarding the plastic play structure, he has been in this business fifty years, rocks get hot to climb on
- he would like to see the tot lot put in and the shade picnic structure
- he suggested putting receptacles at each tree, it's nice during the holiday
- if you do it all the first time it is a lot cheaper, State law has come down hard with volunteers on any project because of liability

Councilmember Kelley stated the following:

- he has three different sets of sheets with numbers on it and he would like to see them all in a line
- we need to send the whole package out to bid and make them understand that we are looking at these options and when the bids come back we will want the numbers right in these same places, that will allow us to move forward and get things done
- he would like to get rid of all of the landscaping, look at the infrastructure for the water system and make sure that we can plant plants
- he would like to send it back to the Park, Recreation and Open Space Committee (PROSC) to figure out what plants will go there, along with the Town arborist
- we have funding for trees in Loomis and nowhere to plant them, this can become a community effort
- make sure that the lighting structures have outlets at the bottom for events
- suggested having all conduits larger than a ¾ conduit
- in the area that we want to have events/activities we need a couple of places where we can put job boxes (that have breakers built into them), this will allow flexibility for events
- suggested using a timed button, to conserve water, that can be pressed for the water feature
- we need to look at getting all the infrastructure in
- we need a priority order on what to do first
- we should never design a facility and leave any ADA options out
- he likes the idea of using the grey water and solar for some of the electricity use
- we should send out to bid now and do the substitutions (landscaping, etc.) later

Councilmember Scherer stated the following:

- the picnic tables, benches, bike racks, drinking fountain and the barbecue grill total \$39,600, these are things that could be funded by community contributions
- if we put out there that there is an opportunity to fund these things than people will come forward
- he agrees with Councilmember Kelley that maybe we should take out the entire landscaping plan, it's not reflective of any of the input that was given and doesn't speak of Loomis
- the oak trees are not planted in high traffic areas, these are excellent opportunities for native shrubs
- if we go to bid without the option of landscaping than we can do the landscaping through community participation down the road (Arbor Day, etc.)
- there is talk about identifying the boulders and pulling them out and setting them aside but there isn't any place in the plans as to where they will be placed at this time and if they are not placed at this time than they won't be placed
- the input that has been given has been ignored and it isn't building confidence in this company's ability to do projects in Loomis
- we have no choice, we have to move forward because we are under a financial time constraint
- if rocks are there than kids will climb on them, he would like to see a landscaping plan go forward that can be done, that is reflective of the input that has been received
- suggested going forward with the bid, and then the different phased bids as well, and allowing us, in accepting a bid, to pull things out (can accept all or parts of a bid)

Perry Beck asked if all the shrubbery and trees were removed if the location of the landscaping would be okay?

Councilmember Scherer said yes.

Councilmember Morillas stated the following:

- the grass needs to go in, you can't have a park without grass
- she agrees with Ms. McLaughlin about the 220 amp outlets, there is never enough power for all the vendors at the Eggplant Festival, plays and the concerts
- she likes the idea of the homemade tiles that Ms. Calvert talked about
- she encouraged local bidding

- she agrees with Ms. Wilson on the Portuguese cork oak tree because some of this community was settled by a large group of Portuguese and Spanish
- also, the Mediterranean oak is something that the Portuguese and Spanish would have brought over
- she likes the idea of putting the shade shelter in first and then the tot lot, tots won't be playing on the rocks, there needs to be a place for the children under 5 years old to play
- she likes the tree house idea and the natural plantings and being more unique
- the acorn grinding holes in the granite rocks need to be emphasized (if there are any), because the Maidu Indians were the earliest settlers in this area, that could be built into the skate park area, some kind of Indian designs to show the heritage
- the volunteers should be used where feasible
- we need to have benches around the park and we can advertise again, if someone wants to donate a bench in memory of their family
- or people could buy trees to donate, there are lots of ways to get donations

Mayor Liss stated the following:

- he wants to stress the rural character because that didn't come through at all
- agrees with not going forward with the landscaping plan but to refer it to the PROSC and the Town arborist, take our time and use the Town money later for those kinds of things
- an important thing is that after the construction is done it needs to feel like a park and not incomplete
- he likes the idea of including the shade structure
- regarding the tot lot, maybe there is room for both plastic and boulders and maybe other things through donations
- agrees with having community participation with benches, tables, bike racks, water fountains and the barbecue grill
- these are wonderful opportunities for donations of cash and/or construction by contractors or students (over time)
- suggested moving some of the benches from the one side of the Depot to the park area to start and then more from donations
- he liked the tiles and the tree house suggestions, things that reflect our heritage, give the rural feel, and the different cultures
- stud out for the restrooms at this time, the electric outlets at the light poles and use solar when we can, like on top of shade structure
- he liked the timed button for the water feature area and use of the grey water
- he would like to incorporate the grinding rocks in the park, having it in the ground with a plaque giving a description
- he agrees that we have to be ADA compliant

Mr. Fraglao suggested leaving the landscaping out (except for the grass) and putting the irrigation in at this time.

Mr. Scott pointed out that there are State mandates for erosion control of dirt running into the street that has to be dealt with.

Councilmember Kelley asked to isolate the part of the landscaping that relates to bio-swale and planting in those areas, we know those are critical items and maybe get the PROSC to look at it before we go to plant in that area.

Mr. Beck pointed out the following:

- he needs a decision so that he can take this plan to the State and have them approve it before we go to bid
- he will send the first page showing the plan, then the page showing the maximum cost estimate (1.3 million)
- only use site development, paving improvements (no detail, in broad categories) and stating this is what we expect this park to cost and what it will look like, eventually
- it will show that the work we will be doing will be primarily related to getting the infrastructure in

Following further discussion on the matter, a motion was made to authorize staff to send the plan to the State, as amended by the comments from Council and directing staff to work with the Town arborist, Janet Thew and Jean Wilson on the planting plan to come back at the September 14, 2010 Council meeting.

Council adjourned to close session at 8:28p.m. and came out of close session at 8:35 p.m.

**CLOSED SESSION** – Pursuant to cited authority, the Town Council will hold a closed session to discuss the following listed items. A report of any action taken will be presented prior to adjournment of the regular meeting.

- CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION.** Initiation of litigation pursuant to subdivision Section 54956.9:
  - Rocklin Crossing: Case #S CV 24099 Town of Loomis v City of Rocklin et al & Rocklin Crossings LLC et al

#### **STATEMENT OF ACTION TAKEN DURING CLOSED SESSION**

- Council gave authority to submit a draft agreement to Rocklin.

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

A motion was made to adjourn at 8:37 p.m. On motion by Councilmember Ucovich, seconded by Councilmember Scherer and passed by voice vote.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor

\_\_\_\_\_  
Town Clerk



**TOWN OF LOOMIS  
ACTION MINUTES  
SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING  
LOOMIS TOWN COUNCIL  
LOOMIS DEPOT  
5775 HORSESHOE BAR ROAD  
LOOMIS, CA 95650**

**THURSDAY**

**JUNE 3, 2010**

**6:45 P.M.**

Note: These are action minutes only. An audio copy of the meeting can be requested at Town Hall.

**CALL TO ORDER** Call to order by Mayor Liss at 6:46 p.m.

**ROLL CALL**

**Present:**

Mayor Liss  
Councilmember Kelley  
Councilmember Morillas  
Councilmember Ucovich

**Absent:** Councilmember Scherer

**PUBLIC COMMENT:** This time is reserved for those in the audience who wish to address the Town Council on subjects that are not on the Agenda. The audience should be aware that the Council may not discuss details or vote on non-agenda items. Your concerns may be referred to staff or placed on the next available agenda. Please note that comments from the public will also be taken on any item on the agenda. The time allotted to each speaker is five minutes. No public comment.

**ADOPTION OF AGENDA** A motion was made to adopt the Agenda. On motion by Councilmember Ucovich, seconded by Councilmember Morillas and passed by voice vote.

**BUSINESS**

1. **Loomis Town Center Implementation Plan**  
Recommended action: Reschedule to another date  
Public comment:

No public comment.

A motion was made to continue to July 7, 2010. On motion by Councilmember Morillas, seconded by Councilmember Ucovich and passed by voice vote.

2. **Town of Loomis Operating Budget**  
Discuss budget for the Fiscal Year ending June 30, 2011  
Recommended action: Review and give comments  
Public comment:

**MISCELLANEOUS REQUESTS**

Council agreed on the following:

Peer Court	\$5000	
McLaughlin Theatre Company	\$ 500	
FFA catchment project at Del Oro HS	\$1000	Directed staff to explore shifting the "Rain Harvest System" grant staff started to Del Oro High School.

Mayor Liss recused himself due to conflict of interest.

Jeff Bordelon, Executive Director of Placer Sustain, stated the following;

- they are a community base grassroots sustainable network, promoting the adoption of sustainability plans
- they would like to work with Loomis to establish cultural centers, open markets, etc.
- they are committed to long term sustainability in Placer County
- the sponsorship is to support their basic operation
- as a non-profit they will have memberships and sponsorships

Council agreed on the following:  
Placer Sustain \$ 500  
Placer County Action Strategy \$1000

Mayor Liss returned to the table.

**PARKS/RECREATION/OPEN SPACE**

No public comment.

Council agreed on the following:  
Park, Recreation and Open Space Committee \$4300  
Summer swim program \$5000  
Depot summer concerts \$3600  
December Holiday festivities \$ 300  
Earth Day \$ 500  
5 Cities softball bathroom donation \$20,000 Directed staff to look into the source of funding such as park funds, etc.

**CHAMBER AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

No public comment.

Council agreed on the following:  
Chamber/business projects/advertising \$6000  
Directional sign program \$6000  
I Love Loomis assistance \$ 500 Directed staff to see if the Chamber of Commerce would like to take this over.  
Cowboy Poetry banners 8 @ 137.65 each \$1102  
Workshop: Chamber and Businesses \$ 500 For mailings.

**CONSULTANT SERVICES**

No public comment.

Council agreed on the following:  
Special Legal Services \$25,000  
2011 Goal Facilitation \$1000  
Heritage Park subdivision planning \$5000 Directed staff to research what is required to keep the Army Core of Engineers permit, what entitlements would be lost and of value, than come back at mid-year review.

**FUNDING PUBLIC WORKS EMPLOYEES OUT OF GAS TAX**

No public comment.

Council agreed to fund 2 public works salaries from gas tax.

**PLANNING DEPARTMENT**

No public comment.

Council agreed to lower consulting fees to \$50,000.

**ADJOURNMENT**

A motion was made to adjourn at 9:57 p.m. On motion by Councilmember Morillas, seconded by Councilmember Ucovich and passed by voice vote.

---

Mayor

---

Town Clerk



**TOWN OF LOOMIS**  
**ACTION MINUTES**  
**SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING**  
**LOOMIS TOWN COUNCIL**  
**LOOMIS DEPOT**  
**5775 HORSESHOE BAR ROAD**  
**LOOMIS, CA 95650**

**THURSDAY**

**MAY 6, 2010**

**6:00 P.M.**

Note: These are action minutes only. An audio copy of the meeting can be requested at Town Hall.

**CALL TO ORDER** Call to order by Mayor Liss at 6:02 p.m.

**ROLL CALL**

Present:

Mayor Liss  
Councilmember Kelley  
Councilmember Morillas  
Councilmember Scherer  
Councilmember Ucovich

**PUBLIC COMMENT:** This time is reserved for those in the audience who wish to address the Town Council on subjects that are not on the Agenda. The audience should be aware that the Council may not discuss details or vote on non-agenda items. Your concerns may be referred to staff or placed on the next available agenda. Please note that comments from the public will also be taken on any item on the agenda. The time allotted to each speaker is five minutes.

No public comment.

**ADOPTION OF AGENDA** A motion was made to adopt the Agenda. On motion by Councilmember Ucovich, seconded by Councilmember Morillas and passed by voice vote.

**BUSINESS**

1. **Loomis Town Center Implementation Plan**
  - I. Presentation of Town Center Design
    - Overall Framework
    - Key Areas
      - Town-owned Properties
      - Taylor Road
      - Horseshoe Bar Road
  - II. Preliminary Estimate of Town Center Probable Costs
    - Blue Goose Area Property
    - Railroad Depot Park Area Properties
    - Taylor Road
    - Horseshoe Bar Road

**Recommended action:** Review and give comments

**Public comment:**

Dan Iacofano, Moore Iacofano Goltsman, Inc. (MIG), gave a general overview of the concepts incorporated in the Plan and answered questions.

The following areas were discussed:

**TAYLOR/KING ROAD**

Councilmember Ucovich stated he understood this area was focused at the beginning of the downtown area by the large rocks at the corner of King and Taylor Roads.

Mr. Iacofano stated that the Heritage Foundation doesn't want that area to be touched.

Mayor Liss pointed out the following;

- this represents a conceptual design before implementation of a project can be done, such as schematic design, design development, construction documents, and post construction evaluation
- where there any objections that need to be worked out, then we can deal with that in the subsequent phases

Councilmember Kelley questioned the line on the map behind the trees.

Amy Mitchell stated the line represents the edge of the parcel.

Councilmember Scherer pointed out that we bought the property through a quit claim, and not by parcel lines from Union Pacific, and everything from the center line of the railroad tracks to fifty feet is Town property (south side).

Brian Fragliao, Town Engineer/Public Works Director, stated that the property line will be checked.

#### BLUE GOOSE PROPERTY

Councilmember Kelley stated the following;

- regarding the Heritage Foundation letter, he would like to re-look at this and take into consideration what they are asking
- he would like to revisit alternate 1 and 2 and see if we can get more ADA parking spaces and better usage
- he would like to get back to the five extra spaces they had before the alternates for the special events

Mr. Iacofano stated the following:

- they drew this in response to the Blue Goose, they sat down with Randy Elder
- they talked about the parking for vans and improving the access to make it safer so people won't back into Taylor Road
- they could do another round of detail to increase the parking, should it be determined that this is insufficient

Perry Beck, Town Manager, pointed out that during an event they can designate a number of spaces for ADA parking.

Dot Shiro, representing

- this is not just about parking spaces, the alternates are not addressing functionality
- this does not address loading and unloading spaces where they may be bringing band equipment, food, decorations, etc.

Councilmember Scherer stated the following:

- he would like to see a conceptual design with nothing being done at the Blue Goose, making an ADA walkway, and improving the streetscape along Taylor Road
- the reason we went into the study was to make downtown assessable to everybody
- he would like to see a scenario where the sidewalk begins at King and Taylor Road and continues in front of the Blue Goose and all the way to Webb Street

Ms. Mitchell stated the following:

- if you provide the walkway all the way down and in front of the Blue Goose then there will be a narrow area on the East side of the Blue Goose
- a multi-use pathway needs to be 10 feet

Mr. Fragliao pointed out the following:

- the alternates came about by doing the full improvements on Taylor Road
- after you do the width of all the streetscapes and the bike lane on Taylor Road, then that is all the space that is left in front of the Blue Goose

Perry Beck, Town Manager, suggested bringing in another alternative with a sidewalk and bike path starting at King Road to Webb Street.

John Williams suggested making a more narrow landscaping strip,

Mr. Fragliao stated they will come back with an alternate with the following:

- showing a 10 foot walkway/bike path (separated or together) from Fox's Automotive along Taylor Road (instead of along the building) up to the other end of the Blue Goose
- with the existing parking area and breaking up the 75 foot drive-way into acceptable curb cuts for ADA compliance

Councilmember Kelley suggested if you have to move the right of way line a little, then move it, the Town owns it.

#### THE PARK

Councilmember Ucovich stated he would like the first four or five parking spaces taken out adjacent to the Depot.

Councilmember Morillas stated that there isn't enough room behind the businesses for the large trucks to load and unload and for safety issues, it should be a one way street.

Mr. Fragliao said that this is only a concept of how it will look, they are working with Omni Means on the detail.

Council will wait and see the detail designs from Omni Means.

## STAHR LIQUOR

Councilmember Ucovich stated concerns with having a landscape median in front of Stahr Liquor where people can't turn into the drive-way.

Jenny Knisley pointed out that Stahr Liquor also has large delivery trucks that have to turn around.

## HIGH HAND FRUIT SHED

Councilmember Kelley stated the following:

- we have a walkway that is on the building, a walkway that is at street level, and then we have a bike lane
- we don't need two walkways and he would like to explore the option to accommodate both business and pedestrian

Ms. Mitchell stated the issue is having a ramp to get to the walkway on the upper level.

Councilmember Ucovich and Mayor Liss would rather have the walkway along the street.

## HORSESHOE BAR ENTRY

Councilmember Scherer suggested the following:

- adding additional trees on the Raley's side of the road from the monument to the Interstate 80 access
- we need a landscape barrier between the road and the sidewalk

Councilmember Kelley suggested factoring in an access across the street from Taco Bell for a potential Chinese restaurant.

Councilmember Ucovich pointed out that the Calthorpe Plan was to have a sidewalk and then 15 feet of landscaping to the building, the Chinese restaurant will need to know if we are changing this.

## DESIGN CONCEPT

Councilmember Scherer pointed out that some of the text is incorrect, page 70 is suppose to be down at Sierra College Boulevard but the key elements say it is the section at the other end of Taylor Road,

## DOWNTOWN DRAINAGE

Councilmember Scherer stated that he is not sure what the connection is between the drainage swells and the drainage master plan.

Brian Fragjao stated the following:

- a pipe system will go down Oak Street and Magnolia to the pond
- there is another system further down by Circle Drive and Bank of America that makes its way to Sierra College Boulevard and runs down toward Rocklin

Councilmember Scherer stated the following:

- he would like a greater use of swales
- we would like to have green streets in Loomis

Roger Smith pointed out the following:

- bio swales are often used in conjunction with positive drainage systems
- they contain drain inlets that become alternatives to curb and gutter drain inlets
- the swale leading to a pipe camouflaged overgrown type inlet, is a nice combination and alternative to curb and gutter

## ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

Councilmember Scherer stated the following:

- in the marketing analysis the study implies that we actually have clothing stores
- we talked about bringing in the Capital Corridor and using that as an economic development driver
- if we do that than we will need additional parking

Councilmember Ucovich pointed out that it states "that you can buy everything you want in Loomis," but you can't buy everything you want in Loomis.

## COSTS

Councilmember Ucovich questioned why we are putting an additional 50 parking spaces behind Stahr Liquor or is it employee parking for High Hand Nursery.

Councilmember Scherer pointed out that we were providing for future growth in the downtown area and this should be discussed with Omni Means as to how many parking spaces go back there.

Councilmember Morillas stated that if we want businesses in Town then we have to consider parking for the employees and the shoppers.

Councilmember Kelley pointed out that this is a design concept and we won't build it until we know they will come.

Mayor Liss pointed out that Omni Means is only focused on the park from Horseshoe Bar Road to Walnut Street.

**NEXT STEPS**

Councilmember Scherer asked to have photos showing the steps of each section improved, to visualize what can be done.

Roger Smith stated concerns with the impact it will have on traffic flow and questioned if there was a traffic analysis done.

Nancy Beck stated the following:

- we have always planned to have a walking community
- we are becoming a bicycling community
- we are on the right track with this plan

**ADJOURNMENT**

A motion was made to adjourn at 8:09 p.m. On motion by Councilmember Ucovich, seconded by Councilmember Scherer and passed by voice vote.

---

Mayor

---

Town Clerk